

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO                                      | Э.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,933  | •    | 08/26/2003  | Gary Karlin Michelson | 101.0026-04000           | 3089             |
| 22882   | 7590 | 06/02/2005  | EXAMINER              |                          | INER             |
|   |      | RRARO, LLP  | JACKSON, GARY         |                          |                  |
| 1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE<br>HARTVILLE, OH 44632 |      | -           |                       | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
| •             | , -  |             |                       | . 3731                   |                  |
|   |      |             |                       | DATE MAIL ED. 06/02/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/647,933  | MICHELSON, GARY KARLIN              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gary Jackson  | 3731                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M  | arch 2005.  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | <del>/ -</del>  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | $M \mathcal{N}$                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:  | ate<br>Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed March 9, 2005. The argument have been considered, however they do not place the claims in condition for allowance. In view of applicant's argument the examiner has set forth a new ground of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Biller (US Patent 4,962,747). The patent to Biller discloses each of the following limitations:

- a body (38) having a longitudinal axis and a rear and a front;
- the body having a storage compartment capable of holding a stack of staples (see figure 2, hollow compartment inside body 38);
- a concave curve adapted to conform to the curvature of the thenar eminence and facing the rear of said body and a proximal extension (see figure 3) and

Art Unit: 3731

 said bodies having a pair of parallel sides (50), the sides are parallel to the longitudinal axis.

The device in Biller's patent is capable of being gripped in the manner recited.

The staple per se has not been positively recited and therefor has no limiting in this instance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biller in view of Wright (US Patent 4,777,948). The patent to Wright suggests a plurality of indentation for grasping by a user hand. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the handle grip of Biller with indentations as taught by Wright to minimize slippage of the grip.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pruitt (US Patent 4,848,637) in view of Biller and Wright. The patent to Pruitt discloses a surgical stapler having a gun-type grip substantially as recited in claims 12-14. Biller and Wright combination is provided herein as above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Pruitt with indentation for more comfortable ergonomic fitting to a surgeon's hand.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Antoine Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4693. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

Primary Examiner Hary Jucks

Art Unit 3731

May 27, 2005

Application/Control Number: 10/647,933

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5